

Professional Conduct Committee Rules and Procedures

Revised 2020

Approved May, 2020

INTRODUCTION

The [Bylaws of the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska](#) (October 1989 Revision) provide to the faculty governing bodies on each campus the authority to establish a professional Conduct Committee (Regents Bylaws, Section 4.15, 1989).

From the Board of Regents Bylaws:

4.15.2 Powers of Professional Conduct Committee. A Professional Conduct Committee shall be empowered:

53(a) To receive complaints from any person charging a member of the professional staff, as defined in Section 3.1.1 of these Bylaws, with professional misconduct. (b) To investigate the facts relevant to the charge and to make factual determinations. Said investigation shall include advising the affected party of the charge, hearing his or her response, and considering any evidence produced by such party. (c) conclude whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that the person against whom the charge is directed committed acts that amount to professional misconduct. (d) To advise the person filing the charge, and any other appropriate person or groups, of the Committee's conclusion and factual findings. (e) To recommend to the appropriate University officer, or group, whether action should be taken with respect to the charge, and the nature of such action. (f) To recommend sanctions less severe than appointment termination where the Committee judges less severe sanctions appropriate.

The University of Nebraska at Kearney Faculty Senate has adopted these Rules of Procedure, and thereby establishes a method for electing a seven (7) member Professional Conduct Committee.

The policies formulated within this document apply to all professional staff activities at the University of Nebraska at Kearney. The term "professional staff" means persons employed at UNK within the definition of professional staff provided in *Sections 3.1.1.1, 3.1.1.2 and 3.1.1.3* of the Bylaws of the Board of Regents.

The charge to the Professional Conduct Committee is to carry out its functions in an equitable, efficient, and consistent manner in conformity with these Rules of Procedure.

1. MEMBERSHIP

1.1. Committee Membership and Selection

The Professional Conduct Committee shall consist of seven (7) members. The elected Committee members shall be elected in October of each year by at least a plurality of the Faculty Senate from a list of nominees provided by the Faculty Senate Election Committee. All elected faculty shall be tenured and hold the rank of assistant professor or above.

The committee shall be composed of one (1) faculty member from each of the undergraduate colleges, one Faculty Senator elected by the Faculty Senate, one at-large member of the faculty not a member of the Faculty Senate, the Faculty Senate President-elect, and one non-academic Professional Staff member selected by the Administration.

The Committee members shall be classified with respect to the time for which they shall severally hold office by dividing them into two (2) classes, each consisting, as nearly as may be, of one-half of the whole number of the Committee, and all members shall hold office until their successors are elected and qualified. At the meeting held for the election of the first Committee, the members of the first class shall be elected for a term of one year and the members of the second class shall be elected for a term of two years. At each annual election the successors to the class of members whose terms shall expire in that year shall be elected to hold office for a term of two years, so that the term of office of one class of members shall expire each year. In cases of resignation, the Faculty Senate shall elect a replacement to complete the term of the Committee member who has resigned.

1.2. Disqualification of Committee Members

No member of the Committee shall participate in any proceedings of the Committee if he/she is a member of the department of either the Complainant(s) or the Respondent(s), or if he/she would not be capable of impartially considering the evidence and issues before the Committee in the proceedings. Committee members may also choose to recuse themselves from any specific investigation on the basis of unavailability, lack of appropriate expertise, or a personal conflict of interest. Any member of the Committee may raise the question of disqualification of another member by making a motion to disqualify, which must be seconded and voted on by the remaining Committee members. In the event that one or more members of the Committee is disqualified from participating in a particular set of proceedings, the President of the Faculty Senate shall choose a qualified replacement Committee member.

1.3. Committee Attendance and Quorum Standards (Added, 4-93)

A Committee member not attending two successive meetings of the Committee, unless non-attendance is the result of disqualification as noted in [1.2 \(above\)](#), shall be replaced by the President of the Faculty Senate with appropriate professional staff as noted in and consistent with [1.1 \(paragraph 2\)](#) above.

All formal Committee decisions shall be reached by a majority vote of the Committee members except that, in the case of recommendations which may become part of a [Final Report \(4.3.3\)](#), five (5) members of the Committee must concur that the preponderance of the evidence supports an allegation in order to sustain any individual charge.

DEFINITIONS:

Chair: Chair shall mean the chair of the Professional Conduct Committee, or in his or her absence, the vice chair of the Professional Conduct Committee.

Chancellor: Chancellor shall mean the Chancellor of the University of Nebraska at Kearney or his or her designated representative.

Committee: Committee shall mean the University of Nebraska at Kearney Faculty Professional Conduct Committee.

Complainant: Complainant shall mean the individual filing a formal complaint before the Professional Conduct Committee in accordance with these rules.

Faculty Member: Faculty member shall mean any individual who is classified for employment purposes as a member of the academic –administrative staff or the other academic staff of the University of Nebraska at Kearney as defined in *Sections 3.1.1.1 and 3.1.1.2* of the Bylaws of the Board of Regents.

Respondent: Respondent shall mean the person or persons accused of professional misconduct in the complaint.

Working Day (also “Business Day”): A Working or Business Day shall be any Monday thru Friday on which University classes are in session or examinations are being held. Working Day shall also be interpreted to include weekdays during which academic operations are ongoing using online resources during periods of emergency declared by the Chancellor.

Faculty Senate Coordinating Committee (a.k.a. “Super Committee”): A committee comprised of the President of the Faculty Senate and the Chairs of the Professional Conduct Committee, Grievance Committee, Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee, and UNKEA, for the purpose of coordinating activity among Faculty Senate committees.

2. STANDARDS OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT SUBJECT TO COMMITTEE JURISDICTION

The Committee shall have jurisdiction and authority to investigate complaints charging violations of standards of professional conduct brought against professional staff at the University of Nebraska at Kearney. "Standards of Professional Conduct" shall be those set forth in the [*Bylaws of the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska*](#) including, but not limited to, *Section 3.4* (Conditions of Employment for the Professional Staff), *Section 3.8* (Conflict of Interest), *Section 3.9* (Political Activities of Employees), *Section 3.10* (Patent Policy), *Section 3.11* (Sale of Books and Supplies to Students; Copyrights and Royalties), and *Section 4.1* (Academic Responsibility), along with any standards of professional rights and duties as prescribed by the University of Nebraska Board of Regents, federal, state, and local laws, and generally accepted professional rights and duties of the academic community. In addition, the following standards are established as standards of professional conduct for all professional staff. A professional staff member:

Shall not interfere with the exercise of political and citizenship rights and responsibilities of students, colleagues, and the general citizenry.

Shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, creed, sex, marital status, age, national origin, ethnic background, or handicapping condition;

Shall not use coercive means or promise special treatment to students, colleagues, or the general citizenry in order to influence professional decisions;

Shall not make any fraudulent statement or fail to disclose a material fact for which the professional staff member is responsible unless disclosure results in a breach of professional confidentiality;

Shall not engage in any activity that could be characterized as research or scholarship misconduct, including the fabrication or falsification of data, plagiarism, misrepresentation, or the failure to conduct research in accordance with the requirements of any outside funding source.

Shall not exploit professional relationships with students, colleagues, or the general citizenry for personal gain or private advantage;

Shall not sexually harass students or colleagues or other persons with whom the professional staff member comes in contact in his/her professional capacity;

Shall recuse herself/himself from all circumstances in which the professional staff or faculty member bears primary authority and accountability as a mentor, educator, evaluator, or supervisor over any student, faculty, or staff with whom the professional staff member is involved in a consensual romantic or sexual relationship (The policy is set forth in the *Professional Conduct Committee - Consensual Relationships Policy*);

Shall not engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation in the performance of professional duties;

Shall not use institutional staff position or privilege for private gain or to promote political candidates, political issues, or partisan political activities;

Shall not commit any act of moral turpitude nor commit any felony under the laws of the United States, or any state or territory;

Shall not misrepresent his/her professional qualifications nor those of his/her colleagues;

Shall neither accept nor offer gifts or favors that will impair professional judgment;

Shall support established principles of due process;

Shall seek no reprisal against any person who in good faith alleges a violation of this section;

Shall attend with reasonable diligence to the duties of his/her professional position;

Shall conduct professional business through designated procedures, when available, that have been approved and are recognized by the institution and its various entities;

Shall permit no commercial or personal exploitation of his/her professional position.

The Committee also shall have the authority to interpret and apply the foregoing standards, rights, and duties of professional conduct for members of the professional staff.

3. PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE

Any professional staff member who is accused of professional misconduct under the procedures provided herein shall be presumed innocent by the Committee. The burden of proving professional misconduct shall be upon the Complainant and the accompanying investigation and inquiry, if any.

Unless there is good reason to believe that interim administrative action is required to protect the interests of the public and the University, no administrative or academic sanctions will be placed on any faculty or staff member under investigation until the Committee's Final Report is issued and responded to by administration.

4. JURISDICTION

The Committee's jurisdiction extends to all matters of professional misconduct alleged against full and part-time faculty and staff.

4.1. Initiation of Proceedings

Complaints of professional misconduct may be made by any person and shall be directed to the Faculty Senate “Super Committee” through the Chair of the Professional Conduct Committee or the President of the Faculty Senate. The “Super Committee” will make an initial determination of the Senate committee with appropriate jurisdiction and formally assign the case to the Professional Conduct Committee if appropriate. Such a complaint must be in writing and shall conform with the Guidelines for Submitting Complaints contained herein at [item 7](#). A formal complaint may be brought to the Committee only after all other internal means of resolution have been exhausted.

If the allegation involves the safety of human or animal subjects or violations of regulations in the use of biohazardous materials, the Committee shall inform the University of Nebraska at Kearney Dean for Graduate Studies as to the nature of the allegations so that he/she can inform the University of Nebraska Institutional Review Board for the Protection of Human Research Subjects that such allegations have been made. The chairperson of the Committee shall notify the Respondent(s) that such notification has occurred.

Meetings of the Committee shall be scheduled when a minimum of six (6) members are able to be present . All proceedings of the Committee shall be kept confidential and every effort shall be made to keep confidential the names of the Complainant(s) and of the Respondent(s), except when reasonable inquiry and investigation require disclosure. Detailed documentation collected during the inquiry shall be securely maintained by the Committee. Such records shall be made available, when federal law so requires, to authorized personnel of the federal government. All meetings of the Committee shall be closed to the public.

If a complaint names multiple Respondents, the Committee may choose to separate the complaints into multiple individual complaints for separate adjudication. Likewise, if the Committee receives multiple complaints concerning separate Respondents, the Committee may choose to consolidate the complaints into a single case for the purpose of the Committee’s proceedings pursuant to these rules,

4.2. Sufficiency of Complaint and Determination of Jurisdiction-Inquiry

Immediately upon receiving a complaint, or as soon thereafter as is practically possible, the chairperson of the Committee shall provide written notification to the person/s filing the complaints that the allegation has been received, the date on which the complaint(s) was received and a copy of the Professional Conduct Committee Rules of Procedure. The Committee chairperson shall likewise send written notification to the professional staff member(s) named in the allegation specifying the nature of the allegation, a copy of the complaint and any accompanying materials received with the complaint, when the allegation was received and a copy of the Professional Conduct Committee Rules of Procedure.

The chairperson shall call a meeting of the Committee as soon as is practicable within fourteen (14) working days after a written complaint is received, though the Chairperson at his or her discretion and in consultation with the President of the Faculty Senate, may delay response based on practical considerations including those arising from emergency conditions on campus. At the discretion of the Chairperson in consultation with the President of the Faculty Senate, a “virtual” meeting of the Committee using online technologies is permitted.

If the use of alternate members is required, the reformed Committee shall meet as soon as is practicable after the first meeting.

The written complaint, along with any documentary evidence submitted, shall be considered for the purpose of determining (a) whether or not such proceedings fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee, and (b) whether or not the complaint is of sufficient import to warrant formal proceedings before the Committee. If the Committee finds that the complaint is insufficient or that the complaint lies outside of its jurisdiction, it will communicate such a finding in writing to the Complainant and Respondent setting forth the reasons for the Committee's finding. If the Complainant disagrees with the Committee's finding, s/he shall have seven (7) working days to resubmit or amend the complaint for reconsideration by the Committee, though the Committee may extend that deadline for special circumstances. Upon receipt of the resubmitted or amended complaint, the Committee shall meet to reconsider its original decision. If the Committee affirms its original decision that the complaint is insufficient, such decision shall be final.

If the complaint in the opinion of the Committee lies outside the jurisdiction of the Committee, the Committee will refer the complaint back to the Super Committee for potential reassignment to another Committee.

Inquiry

Right of Respondent to File an Answer

The respondent shall have fourteen (14) working days in which to file a written Answer to the Complaint with the Chair of the Committee . If the respondent fails to file an answer within the specified time period, the Committee will find in favor of the Complainant by default.. In special circumstances. the Committee may extend the fourteen (14) day period for good and sufficient reasons.

An Answer shall include at a minimum,

- a written statement from the Respondent which responds to and specifically addresses the allegations contained in the complaint;
- pertinent relevant and documented evidence supporting the Respondents position; and:
- a listing of witnesses (if any) who may be called upon by the Respondent

Inquiry

Upon receipt of the Respondent's Answer the Committee shall commence an Inquiry in order to determine whether an allegation or apparent instance of professional misconduct warrants a full and complete investigation. The Inquiry shall be completed within forty-five (45) working days from the date of receipt of the Answer unless circumstances clearly warrant a longer time period. During the Inquiry period the Committee may request and secure additional information from the Complainant, the Respondent and /or other sources or witnesses which may have information of value to the inquiry process.

Inquiry Report

At the conclusion of the Inquiry a written report shall be prepared that states what evidence was reviewed, summarizes relevant interviews, and includes the conclusion of the inquiry. The report shall be delivered to the Complainant(s), the Respondent(s), the President of the Faculty Senate, and to the Chancellor, or his/her designee, if the University is required to notify and/or report to any external regulatory agency then to that agency as well

The Inquiry Report shall contain full explication of the specific standards of professional conduct that are alleged to have been violated, the specific actions alleged which, if substantiated, constitute a violation of those standards, a clear listing of all evidence reviewed by the Committee during the Inquiry and the Committee's preliminary findings. Preliminary findings include but are not limited to (a) dismissal of the Complaint for insufficiency, (b) recommendations for informal resolution of the complaint short of continuation to the full investigative process and/or (c) institution of the full investigative process as enumerated in [Section 5](#) of these Rules.

Should the Committee's preliminary findings result in a decision to dismiss the complaint, the Complainant shall have seven (7) calendar days to ask for reconsideration of the decision to dismiss. The Committee shall respond to such a reconsideration request within seven (7) working days of receipt of the request, though the Committee may extend that deadline for special circumstances.

Should the Committee's Preliminary Findings result in a recommendation for informal resolution, the Committee will clearly present the alternatives to both the Complainant and the Respondent. Each party shall have seven (7) working days to respond to the recommendations. If either party or both parties decline the recommended resolution, the matter will proceed immediately to hearing.

No administrative investigation or procedure shall supersede or substitute for the role of the Professional Conduct Committee in its advisory capacity to administration in regard to professional misconduct, except as provided for under "Interim Administrative Action" below.

The scope of authority of the Committee shall extend to all activities which involve alleged professional misconduct associated with University of Nebraska at Kearney

employment and is inclusive of all matters associated with alleged research or scholarship misconduct except as provided for the investigation of misconduct for “controlled and sponsored research” under the auspices of the Office of Research Compliance.

5. RULES OF PROCEDURE

6. INVESTIGATION AND HEARING

Conduct of Hearings

The Committee shall conduct one or more hearings for the purpose of ascertaining the facts relevant to the allegations contained in the Inquiry Report.

An audio recording of the hearing(s) shall be made and the record securely retained by the Committee. At the initial hearing, only the Complainant, the Respondent, and counsel or an advisor for any party shall be present. The Committee may hold further hearings at which the Complainant, Respondent, and relevant witnesses may be present. Witnesses will be present and interviewed one at a time. The Committee chairperson shall preside over the meeting, but all members of the Committee may participate in the questioning of the Complainant(s), Respondent(s), and the witnesses.

The Complainant, the Respondent, and the Committee shall exchange with each other at least four (4) working days prior to the meetings, a list of the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all of the witnesses each has requested to appear before the Committee. All persons meeting with the Committee will be requested to respond to questions by the Committee and give testimony relevant to the statement of charges. Any person meeting with the Committee may submit a written statement.

During Hearings, the Complainant(s) and Respondent(s) may be assisted by counsel or by an advisor of their choice. The Respondent and his or her counsel may be present throughout all testimony by all witnesses. The Respondent and/or the Respondent’s counsel shall have the right to peruse all evidence and to cross-examine all witnesses.

The Committee may request that the General Counsel of the University of Nebraska to provide legal counsel to the Committee. The Committee may also request the advice and assistance of appropriate professional consultants (e.g., a psychiatrist, a technical expert, an ethicist, an accountant, experts within a particular discipline). The Committee shall have the right to select and hire a lawyer not otherwise affiliated with the University to assist it in conducting hearings. The lawyer selected must be agreed to by the General Counsel of the University, the President of the Faculty Senate (or designee), and the Chancellor of UNK (or designee). The Committee also may ask other persons who appear to have knowledge of the matter under investigation to meet with the Committee. The University shall not without good cause refuse to provide financial and other resources necessary for the Committee to obtain such expertise, the cost within reason of such expertise not to be considered good cause.

Hearings shall be scheduled to ensure that both Complainant and Respondent may be present. Absence of the Complainant from a Hearing will result in dismissal of the Complaint. Absence of the Respondent from the Hearing will result in a default judgment for the Complainant.

Complainant and Respondent (or Respondent's counsel) may directly cross-examine each other under the strict application of rules established by the Committee and enforced by the Chair of the Committee or the hearing officer. The process of cross-examination shall adhere to rigid standards of decorum, civility, and dignity.

Rights to Hearing Information

The recorded record of all hearings shall be retained by the Committee. Written transcriptions of hearings shall be made only at the request of one of the two parties in the matter at hand or by the Committee. The requesting party will bear the full cost of transcription. Further, any written transcriptions must be done by a disinterested third party acceptable to the Committee. Copies of any written transcription(s) shall be provided to the Committee, the Complainant and the Respondent.

7. FINAL REPORT

7.1. Committee Findings and Final Report

As soon as reasonably possible after conclusion of the Hearing(s), the Committee shall meet and adopt a Final Report. This Committee meeting shall be scheduled such that five (5) of the committee must concur that the preponderance of the evidence supports an allegation in order to sustain any individual charge. The individual vote will be kept confidential. All other committee decisions shall be reached by majority vote of the Committee members. The Final Report of the Committee shall contain at a minimum the following:

- (A) The Inquiry Report which includes the specific standards of professional conduct that are alleged to have been violated and the alleged actions which violated the standards;
- (B) Findings of fact relating to the allegations contained in the Investigation Statement;
- (C) The Committee's conclusions, supported by a preponderance of the evidence, regarding whether the Respondent committed an act or acts of professional misconduct; and
- (D) The Committee's recommendations for action based upon its findings of fact and conclusions.

7.2. Time Requirements

The Committee shall issue the Final Report within thirty (30) working days from the conclusion of the hearings. If the Committee determines, at the end of thirty (30) working

days, that it cannot complete its investigation and related activities within the thirty (30) day period, it must advise the Faculty Senate President of this determination. The Chancellor shall also be advised of the potential delay if federal regulations require the University to request the Office of Scientific Integrity for an extension of time. If an extension of time is necessary and if the Chancellor is required by external regulations to submit an interim report to a federal agency, the Committee shall prepare a written report which shall include the Committee's progress to date, an explanation for the delay, and an estimate for the date of completion. The anticipation of an extension of time shall be communicated in writing to the Complainant(s) and the Respondent(s).

The Chair of the Committee may sign the Final Report on behalf of the Committee, recording the Committee votes in support of the Report with no requirement to identify in the Report the individual votes of individual members.

7.3. Committee Recommendations

Recommendations of the Committee may include the following:

(A) If the allegations are not sustained, the Respondents is exonerated. When appropriate, the Committee may include a plan of action designed to restore the reputation of those under investigation. The Committee, through its Chair, has the authority to inform the respondent of his or her rights to file a counter complaint or grievance against the complainant.

(B) If the allegations are sustained, recommendations may include one or more of the following:

(1) Censure of the Respondent(s), including a written letter of censure placed in the Respondent's(s') personnel file;

(2) Restitution or redress of the consequences of the professional misconduct;

(3) Removal of the Respondent(s) from an administrative position;

(4) Alteration in the assignment of duties of the Respondent(s);

(5) Non-reappointment of the Respondent(s) at the end of a Specific - Term Appointment; and/or

(6) Other actions consistent with the intent of and or provided for within the Regents Bylaws.

8. **WITHDRAWAL OF COMPLAINT** A complaint or allegation may be withdrawn at any time by the person(s) submitting the complaint. If the complaint or allegation is withdrawn at any time the Committee shall take no further action.

9. **RESIGNATION OF RESPONDENT** If the Respondent resigns or otherwise terminates his/her relationship with the University, the Committee shall take no further action.

10. **TRANSMISSION OF NOTICES**

For purposes herein, notices shall be deemed received when they are personally delivered or are deposited in the U.S. Mail with first class postage pre-paid, and properly addressed to the individual's current residential address on file with the University of Nebraska at Kearney's Office of Human Resources or to the University of Nebraska at Kearney office of the individual by way of Campus Mail. However, under some circumstances and at the discretion of the Chair it may be deemed sufficient to communicate with either the Respondent or the Complainant via UNK e-mail.

11. **ROLE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE FACULTY SENATE**

The President of the Faculty Senate shall be informed of all actions taken by the Committee including all advice and recommendations given to the Chancellor or other University administrators by the Committee.

12. **DELIVERY OF THE FINAL REPORT AND DISPOSITION OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Final Report of the Committee shall be delivered to the University of Nebraska at Kearney Chancellor, the President of the Faculty Senate, the Complainant(s) and the Respondent(s). The Chancellor or other University officers will be requested to carry out the recommendations' sanctions, if any, in accordance with the Bylaws and Policies of the Board of Regents.

A copy of all filings, Committee documents, and a record of all proceedings and deliberations of the Committee shall be retained and secured by the Committee. The Final Report shall not be made public, except in response to a subpoena or other judicial process. It may, however, be delivered to any federal regulatory agency which by law is entitled to such delivery.

The Chancellor, or his/her designee, shall deliver, within thirty working (30) days, a written response to the Final Report to the chairperson of the Committee and the President of the Faculty Senate. The Chancellor's response shall include any action taken or yet to be taken by the Chancellor pursuant to the Committee's recommendations. If the Chancellor fails or refuses to act upon the Committee's recommendations, the written response shall include reasons for such failure or refusal.

13. **INTERIM ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION**

If at any time during the period of inquiry or investigation, the Committee believes that interim administrative actions should be taken to protect the welfare of human or animal subjects of research, prevent inappropriate use of funds, or otherwise protect the interests of the public and the University, the Committee chairperson shall so inform the Chancellor and

the President of the Faculty Senate. When warranted, the Chancellor or his/her designee shall notify the Director of the Office of Scientific Integrity (OSI) as prescribed in 42 C.F.R. S50.105(a). When there is a reasonable indication of possible criminal offense, the Chancellor shall notify the Office of Scientific Integrity within 24 hours. If the allegation involves the safety of human or animal subjects or violations of regulations in the use of biohazardous materials, the Committee shall inform the Dean of Graduate Studies, the Research Services Council or other appropriate entities that such allegations have been made. The chairperson of the Committee shall notify the Respondent that such notification has occurred.

14. Guidelines for Submitting Complaints to the Professional Conduct Committee

- (1) Indicate your name, office address, home address, and telephone numbers.
Name the professional staff member(s) of the University of Nebraska at Kearney
- (2) against whom the complaint is being lodged. Provide titles, departments, addresses, and telephone numbers (if known).
Name any other agency, organization, University of Nebraska at Kearney committee, or University of Nebraska at Kearney administrator, if any, to whom you previously
- (3) submitted this complaint, and explain the current status of your proceedings with any such person or group.
State your complaint clearly and completely. Explain why you feel there is sufficient reason to lodge the complaint and list the specific action, including the place(s) and
- (4) date(s) (if known) when the infraction(s) occurred, the names, office and home addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses, and other documents or facts which you think support your allegation(s).
- (5) Sign and date each page of the written complaint.

15. Amendment of the Professional Conduct Committee Rules of Procedure

These rules may be amended by motion duly made and seconded at any business meeting of the University of Nebraska at Kearney Faculty Senate. Any such amendment shall become effective upon a successful majority vote of eligible Faculty Senate members at the business meeting next following the motion to amend.

(Adopted by the University of Nebraska at Kearney Faculty Senate, December 5, 1991; revised, January 12, 1995; revised March 15, 2005; Revised May, 2020)