

# Domination ratio of infinite circulant graphs

Jia Huang

University of Nebraska at Kearney  
*E-mail address:* huangj2@unk.edu

This is joint work with James Carraher.

December 2017

# Domination in digraphs

- Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a *digraph*, where  $V$  is a set and  $E \subseteq V \times V$ .
- Call  $v \in V$  a *vertex* and  $(u, v) \in E$  a (*directed*) *edge* from  $u$  to  $v$ .
- View  $\Gamma$  as undirected if  $(u, v) \in E \Leftrightarrow (v, u) \in E$  for all  $u, v \in V$ .
- Given  $u, v \in V$ , we say  $u$  *dominates*  $v$  if  $u = v$  or  $(u, v) \in E$ .
- A set  $D \subseteq V$  is called a *dominating set* of the digraph  $\Gamma$  if every vertex  $v \in V$  is dominated by some  $u \in D$ .
- The concept of domination has wide applications in real world, such as resource allocation.
- The *domination number*  $\gamma(\Gamma)$  of a finite digraph  $\Gamma$  is the smallest cardinality of a dominating set of  $\Gamma$ .
- It is a well-known NP-complete problem to determine  $\gamma(\Gamma)$ .

# Domination in finite Cayley graphs

- Given a group  $G$  and a set  $S \subseteq G$ , the *Cayley graph*  $\Gamma(G, S) = (V, E)$  is a digraph with vertex set  $V = G$  and edge set  $E = \{(g, gs) : g \in G, s \in S\}$ .
- If  $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, S)$  is finite with  $n = |G|$  vertices, each having  $d = |S|$  outgoing edges, then

$$\gamma(\Gamma) \geq n/(1 + d).$$

The equality holds iff  $\Gamma$  has an efficient dominating set.

- A dominating set  $D$  of  $\Gamma$  is *efficient* if every vertex of  $\Gamma$  is dominated by exactly one vertex in  $D$ .
- Work on efficient dominating sets in finite Cayley graphs: Dejter–Serra 2003, Chelvam–Mutharasu 2013, etc.

# Domination in circulant graphs

- A *circulant (di)graph* is a finite Cayley graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is the finite cyclic group of integers modulo  $n$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ .
- If  $-S := \{-s : s \in S\}$  coincides with  $S$  then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$  can be viewed as an undirected graph.
- Properties: symmetry, fault-tolerance, routing capabilities.
- Applications: telecommunication networks, VLSI design, and distribute computation.
- Domination in circulant graphs: Obradović–Peters–Ružić 2007, Rad 2009, Kumar–MacGillivray 2013.
- Let  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$  be the domination number of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ .

## Some explicit results

### Proposition (H.–Xu 2008)

- If  $1 < s < \lceil n/2 \rceil$  then  $\lceil n/5 \rceil \leq \gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{\pm 1, \pm s\}) \leq \lceil n/3 \rceil$  and  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{\pm 1, \pm s\})$  has an efficient dominating set if and only if  $5 \mid n$  and  $s \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ .
- If  $1 < s < n$  then  $\lceil n/3 \rceil \leq \gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{1, s\}) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  and  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{1, s\})$  has an efficient dominating set if and only if  $3 \mid n$  and  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .
- If  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$  then  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{1, 2, \dots, s\}) = \lceil n/(s+1) \rceil$ .

### Proposition (Rad 2009)

- If  $n \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$  then  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{\pm 1, \pm 3\}) = \lceil n/5 \rceil$ .
- If  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$  then  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{\pm 1, \pm 3\}) = \lceil n/5 \rceil + 1$ .

# Infinite circulant graphs

- An *infinite circulant (di)graph* is a Cayley graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the infinite additive cyclic group of all integers and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .
- When  $S = -S$  the undirected graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  is known as a *distance graph*, whose chromatic number has been extensively studied by many people, such as Erdős and Zhu.
- Infinite circulant graphs are natural extensions of finite circulant graphs and may shed light on their asymptotic behaviors.
- We may assume  $0 \notin S$ , since removing an edge from a vertex  $v$  to itself (i.e., a loop) has no effect on domination.
- If  $S$  is finite then a dominating set of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  must be infinite since every vertex dominates at most  $|S|$  many other vertices.

# Domination ratio

- To measure how large a possibly infinite subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is, we define the (*lower*) *density* of  $U$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  as the limit inferior below:

$$\delta(U) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U \cap [-n, n]|}{2n + 1}. \quad (1)$$

- For example, if  $U$  is finite then  $\delta(U) = 0$  and  $\delta(\mathbb{Z} \setminus U) = 1$ . If  $U = 2\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\delta(U) = 1/2$ . In general, one has  $0 \leq \delta(U) \leq 1$ .
- Define the *domination ratio*  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  of the graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  to be the infimum of  $\delta(D)$  over all dominating sets  $D$  of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ .
- Carraher, Galvin, Hartke, Radcliffe, and Stolee (2016) used upper density to study independent sets in an undirected infinite circulant graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \pm S)$ .

# Periodic sets

## Example

The set  $(5\mathbb{Z} + 1) \cup (5\mathbb{Z} + 4)$  has period 5 and density  $2/5$ .

## Proposition (Carragher and H. 2017+)

- Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the domination ratio of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  is achieved by some periodic dominating set  $D$ .
- If  $p$  is the period of  $D$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = \delta(D) = |D \cap [1, p]|/p$ .
- The finite circulant graph  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)$  has a minimum dominating set  $D \cap [1, p]$  and its domination number is

$$\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p) = |D \cap [1, p]| = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S)p$$

where  $S_p$  to be the set of all the least positive residues of elements in  $S$  modulo  $p$ .



# Basic results on the domination ratio

## Theorem (Carragher and H. 2017+)

- If  $S \subseteq S' \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S') \leq \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, -S)$ .
- If  $|S| \leq 1$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = 1/(1 + |S|)$ . If  $2 \leq |S| < \infty$  then  $1/(|S| + 1) \leq \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) \leq 1/2$ .
- If  $S$  is finite and there exists an efficient dominating set of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = 1/(|S| + 1)$ .
- If  $S = \{i_1(s + 1) + 1, i_2(s + 1) + 2, \dots, i_s(s + 1) + s\}$  with  $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = 1/(s + 1)$ .
- If  $d$  divides all elements of  $S$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S/d) = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ , where  $S/d := \{s/d : d \in S\}$ .

## Corollary (Carragher and H. 2017+)

It follows that  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k + 2\}) = 1/3$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

# Block structure

## Definition

- A dominating set  $D = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  decomposes  $\mathbb{Z}$  into a disjoint union of *blocks*  $B_i = \{x_i, x_i + 1, \dots, x_{i+1} - 1\}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- The *block structure* of  $D$  is the infinite sequence  $(|B_i| : i \in \mathbb{Z})$  of block sizes, which determines  $D$  up to a translation.

## Proposition (Carragher and H. 2017+)

- If  $s = 3k + 1$  or  $s = -3k$  then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, s\})$  has a dominating set with block structure  $(3^k \ 2)^\infty$ . Hence

$$\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k + 1\}) = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k\}) \leq (k + 1)/(3k + 2).$$

- If  $s = 3k$  or  $s = -3k + 1$  then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, s\})$  has a dominating set with block structure  $(3^{k-1} \ 4 \ 3^{k-1} \ 1)^\infty$ . Hence

$$\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k\}) \leq \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k + 1\}) = 2k/(6k - 1).$$

# Main result

## Theorem (Carragher and H. 2017+)

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Then we have

$$\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k + 1\}) = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k\}) = (k + 1)/(3k + 2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k\}) = \bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k + 1\}) = 2k/(6k - 1).$$

## Sketch of Proof.

- Proof 1: Group blocks into clusters according to domination. Then study the ratio of the union of all clusters contained in  $[-n, n]$ .
- Proof 2: Use a discharging method similar to previous work on independence ratio.
- Proof 1 does not rely on the fact that the domination ratio of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  is achieved by a periodic dominating set, whereas Proof 2 does.  $\square$

# Corollaries

## Corollary

Let  $S := \{s, t\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $s \mid t$ .

- If  $t/s = 3k + 1$  or  $t/s = -3k$  for some positive integer  $k$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = (k + 1)/(3k + 2)$ .
- If  $t/s = 3k$  or  $t/s = -3k + 1$  for some positive integer  $k$  then  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S) = 2k/(6k - 1)$ .
- Moreover,  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  has an efficient dominating set if and only if  $t/s \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

## Corollary

If  $k > 0$  is an integer then  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{3k+2}, \{\pm 1\}) = \gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{3k+2}, \{1, 2\}) = k + 1$   
and  $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{6k-1}, \{1, 3k\}) = 2k$ .

# Questions

- Is there any intuitive explanation for the equalities below?

$$\gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k\}) = \gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k + 1\})$$

$$\gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, 3k + 1\}) = \gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \{1, -3k\})$$

- Study  $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  if  $S = \{s, t\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $s \nmid t$  or if  $|S| > 2$ .
- How about other graphs, such as products of paths or cycles?
- What if  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is defined in some kind of random way?
- Replace domination with total domination, independent domination, etc.

Thank you!